WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Heber Martin-Gomez	Case Number: <u>08-6055M</u>	
present and v	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § was represented by counsel. I conclude the defendant pending trial in this case.	33142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 20, 2008. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
		FINDINGS OF FACT	
·	eponderance of the evidence that:		
		United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant cor	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.	
The of	f the hearing in this matter, except as no	erial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court ted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defen No condition or combination of condition of condition of conditions.		
a corrections appeal. The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the the United States Marshal for the purpo	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in e, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending e opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court be Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the se of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this	s detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to n to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
IT IS Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ficiently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	o a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial e the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DAT	TED this 21 st day of March, 200	08.	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge